



Proving The Fermat Last Theorem For Case $q \leq n$

Baiq Desy Aniska Prayanti^{a,*}, Maxrizal^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Universitas Bangka Belitung, Pangkalpinang, Indonesia. Email: baiq-desy@ubb.ac.id

^bDepartment of Information System, ISB Atma Luhur, Pangkalpinang, Indonesia. Email: maxrizal@atmaluhur.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Fermat's Last Theorem is a well-known classical theorem in mathematics. Andrew Willes has proven this theorem using the modular elliptic curve. However, the proposed proof is difficult for mathematicians and researchers to understand. For this reason, in this study, we provide evidence of several properties of Fermat's Last Theorem with a simple concept. We use Newton's Binomial Theorem, well-known in Fermat's time. In this study, we prove Fermat's Last Theorem for case $q \leq n$.

Keywords: Fermat's last theorem, Newton Binomial, proof of Fermat

Diserahkan: 07-06-2022; Diterima: 12-08-2022;

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.29303/emj.v5i2.137>

1. Introduction

Andrew Willes has proved the Fermat Last Theorem with the modular elliptic curve [1]. Willes' proof is quite complex to understand and may not have been known in Fermat's time. After Willes' proof, other researchers have also provided an alternative to the Fermat Last Theorem through several new Diophantine and Hilbert–Waring hybrid equations [2]. In [3], Fermat's Last Theorem is proved by using Reduction ad absurdum, the Pythagorean Theorem, and congruent triangles' properties.

In addition, other researchers have also tried to provide proof of the Fermat Last Theorem in an easy way [4]–[8]. The researchers proved the Fermat Last Theorem through unique factorization [9], contra positive method [10], least (smallest) deviation [11], group theoretical & calculus [12], and elliptic curves methods [13].

For this reason, in this study, we examine some of the properties of the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem using Newton's Binomial Theorem. We try to provide a more straightforward proof of Fermat's last theorem that may have existed in Fermat's time.

2. Preliminaries

In this research, we need the concept of combination to build Newton's Binomial Theorem. We also need a positive real number (\mathbb{R}^+) and a positive integer (\mathbb{Z}^+). Newton's binomial concept is the main tool for proving the fermat last theorem for case $q \leq n$.

Definition 2.1. For any $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $r \leq n$, the combination of n elements is $C_r^n = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}$.

Theorem 2.2. For any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, apply $(p + q)^n = p^n + C_1^n p^{n-1}q + \dots + C_{n-1}^n p q^{n-1} + p^n$ [14], [15].

* Corresponding author.

Alamat e-mail: baiq-desy@ubb.ac.id

Furthermore, to guarantee the existence of a root of a positive integer (\mathbb{Z}^+), we are given the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. If $z, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $\sqrt[n]{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ or $\sqrt[n]{z} \in \text{irrational}$.

We also need the concept of the greatest common divisor of two nonzero integers.

Definition 2.4. Given $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \neq 0$. If $(a, b) = c$, then $c|a$ and $c|b$.

3. Main Result

Before we partially prove Fermat's Last Theorem, we'll build some supporting theorems.

Theorem 3.1. Given any $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n > 1$. If $p \geq q$ then applies $p^n < (p^n + q^n) < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n$.

Proof. First, we prove $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Because $p \geq q$ and $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then $p^n < (p^n + q^n)$ applies.

Second, we prove $(p^n + q^n) < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n$. According to Theorem 2.2., we get.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n &= C_0^n p^n \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^0 + C_1^n p^{n-1} \left(\frac{q}{n}\right) + \dots \\ &+ C_{n-1}^n p \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{n-1} + C_n^n p^0 \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^n \\ &= p^n + np^{n-1} \left(\frac{q}{n}\right) + \dots \\ &+ np \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^n \\ &= p^n + p^{n-1}q + \dots + np \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

Since $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n > 1$ and $p \geq q$ then apply

$$\begin{aligned} q &\leq p \\ \Leftrightarrow q^{n-1} &\leq p^{n-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow q^{n-1}q &\leq p^{n-1}q \\ \Leftrightarrow q^n &\leq p^{n-1}q \end{aligned}$$

Look back at $\left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n = p^n + p^{n-1}q + \dots + np \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^n$. Because $q^n \leq p^{n-1}q$ then applies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n &= p^n + p^{n-1}q + \dots + np \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{n-1} \\ &+ \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^n \geq p^n + q^n + \dots + np \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{q}{n}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $(p^n + q^n) < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n$.

So, for $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n > 1$, and $p \geq q$, then $p^n < (p^n + q^n) < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n$.

Next, we will try to prove Fermat's Last Theorem partially. In the equation $p^n + q^n = z^n$, we will divide the two conditions, namely $q \leq n$ and $q > n$. The following is a proof of Fermat's Last Theorem for $q \leq n$.

Theorem 3.2. Given any $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n > 2$. If $p \geq q$ and $q \leq n$, the equation $p^n + q^n = z^n$ has no integer solution, i.e., $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. We take any $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n > 2$, such that there is $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ that satisfies $p^n + q^n = z^n$. Based on Theorem 3.1., we get

$$\begin{aligned} p^n &< (p^n + q^n) < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n \\ \Leftrightarrow p^n &< z^n < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right)^n \\ \Leftrightarrow p &< z < \left(p + \frac{q}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $q \leq n$ then $p < z < (p + 1)$, so $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$ applies. So, no integer is the solution.

The following example will explain in more detail the explanation of Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.3. We have the equation $200^{1200} + 41^{1200} = z^{1200}$. Based on Theorem 3.2., we get

$$200 < z < 200 + \left(\frac{41}{1200}\right).$$

It is clear that $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Example 3.4. We have the equation $1234567^{98766} + 98765^{98766} = z^{98766}$. Based on Theorem 3.2., we get

$$1234567 < z < \left(1234567 + \frac{98765}{98766}\right).$$

It is clear that $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Next, we have to prove condition $q > n$. In this study, we have not found condition $q > n$ in general. We found the following properties.

Theorem 3.5. Given any $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $2 < n < q \leq p$. If $(p, q) = c$ and $\frac{q}{c} \leq n$, the equation $p^n + q^n = z^n$ has no integer solution, i.e., $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Based on Definition 2.4., if $(p, q) = c$, then $c|p$ and $c|q$. Based on the principle of division, there are $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that $p = kc$ and $q = lc$. Note that applies

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^n + q^n &= z^n \\
 \Leftrightarrow (kc)^n + (lc)^n &= (sc)^n \\
 \Leftrightarrow k^n + l^n &= s^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on Theorem 3.2. applies $k < s < \left(k + \frac{l}{n}\right)$. Because of $\frac{q}{c} = \frac{lc}{c} \leq n$, then $l \leq n$. We get $k < s < (k + 1)$ so that $s \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$. Based on Theorem 2.3, $s \in \text{irrational}$. Thus $z \in \text{irrational}$, because of $z = sc$, with $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. So $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

The following example will explain in more detail the explanation of Theorem 3.5.

Example 3.6. We have the equation $1234567890^{34} + 230^{34} = z^{34}$. Based on Theorem 3.5., we get $(1234567890, 230) = 10$. We have $(123456789.10)^{34} + (23.10)^{34} = (z_1.10)^{34}$
 $\Leftrightarrow 123456789^{34} + 23^{34} = z_1^{34}$

Based on Theorem 3.2, it applies $123456789 < z_1 < \left(123456789 + \frac{23}{34}\right)$, so that $z_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$. Based on Definition 2.3, $z_1 \in \text{irrational}$. Thus $z \in \text{irrational}$, because of $z = z_1.10$. It is clear that $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Example 3.7. We have the equation $243^3 + 18^3 = z^3$. Based on Theorem 3.5., we get $(243, 18) = 9$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (27.9)^3 + (2.9)^3 &= (z_1.9)^3 \\
 \Leftrightarrow 27^3 + 2^3 &= z_1^3
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on Theorem 3.2, it applies $27 < z_1 < \left(27 + \frac{2}{3}\right)$, so that $z_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$. Based on Definition 2.3, $z_1 \in \text{irrational}$. Thus $z \in \text{irrational}$, because of $z = z_1.9$. It is clear that $z \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Next, we will consider the case of $q > n$ in equation $p^n + q^n = z^n$, with $p, q, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $q \leq p$.

Example 3.8. We have the equation $17^3 + 11^3 = z^3$. Based on Theorem 3.1, it applies

$$\begin{aligned}
 17 < z < \left(17 + \frac{11}{3}\right) \\
 \Leftrightarrow 17 < z < 20\frac{2}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is a possibility $z = \{18, 19, 20\}$. For probability z like this, then we can try to substitute the value of z , and we will find z . But an experiment like this is ineffective.

Thus, in general, proof of condition $q > n$ has not been found for equation $p^n + q^n = z^n$.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we prove Fermat's Last Theorem for case $q \leq n$. In future research, we hope to prove The Fermat Last Theorem in the case of $q > n$.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to the Institut Sains Dan Bisnis Atma Luhur and Universitas Bangka Belitung for advanced study for the warm hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wiles A, 1995 Modular Elliptic Curves and Fermat's Last Theorem Ann. Math. 141, 3 p. 443.
- [2] Cai T Chen D and Zhang Y, 2015 A New Generalization of Fermat's Last Theorem J. Number Theory 149 p. 33–45.
- [3] Ferreira J W P, 2016 Solution for Fermat's Last Theorem 17 p. 418–425.
- [4] Rostamian R, 2004 A Marvelous Simple Proof Of Fermat's Last Theorem Discovered Ranber Statistics (Ber).
- [5] Karmakar S B, 2020 An elementary proof of Fermat's last theorem for all even exponents J. Math. Cryptol. 14, 1 p. 139–142.
- [6] Wiles A, 2016 Fermat's Last Theorem Implied by.
- [7] Sghiar M, 2018 Two simples proofs of Fermat's last theorem and Beal Mohamed Sghiar To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-01918123.
- [8] Mazaris A, 2018 A new proof of fermat's last theorem Open Access J. Math. Theor. Phys. 1, 3 p. 107–113.
- [9] Kaushish M, 2010 Fermat's last theorem and unique factorization p. 1–15.
- [10] VINAY K, 2018 Proof of Beal's Conjecture and Fermat Last Theorem Using Contra Positive Method i-manager's J. Math. 7, 2 p. 1.
- [11] Romanov V N, 2018 The Method of Proof of Fermat's Last Theorem IOSR J. Math. 14, 3 p. 1–5.
- [12] de Alwis A C W L, 2016 Solutions to Beal's Conjecture, Fermat's Last Theorem and Riemann Hypothesis Adv. Pure Math. 6, 10 p. 638–646.
- [13] Freitas N Kraus A and Siksek S, 2018 On The Asymptotic Fermat's Last Theorem Ann. Mathématiques Blaise Pascal 1, 1 p. 1–5.

- [14]Anton H and Rorres C, 2013 Elementary Linear Algebra: Applications Version, 11th Edition Wiley eGrade.
- [15]Dummit D S and Foote R M, 2004 Abstract Algebra 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons Inc.